App. F. IX-4

Previous

File No. 45-36

Serial Nos. 1-3

Previous References

193 &
(Mezgroup)

Mej 434-21-1,2,5+8

334-27-1-2

194-29-14

~~*Letter References.*~~

48-31-1-2

67-32-1-5

317-32-1+2

Mej 27-37

(67-37 (cc-c))

Secy 43-41-1-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 3

Part B for August 36.

SUBJECT.

Pension to Shamraiah Sastry, late Adhyaksha of Chamarajnagar Patasala

---

Do see Mej Cover

" Confidl

" D.O. Report

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—29-8-36

No 326-8 & 29-8-36.

Sanctions a maintenance allowance of Rs 10/- p.m. to Shamraiah Sastry

G. R. S. APP. F. III—1] 193/

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING

FROM

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The applicant has put in more than 20 years of service in the Patrols and was at the time he was retired getting a pay of Rs. 3 thus. The pension applied for is recommended in a special case, the cost being met from the funds of the Administration which is stated to be able to bear the extra cost involved. Financial sanction is accordingly

NOTES—First Sheet

WD 0945—GPP—2,500 23 8 32

File No. 91 of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

in the matter. Submitted for orders,

May be sanctioned with financial concurrence

Gen Secy) 25/7/36

25 JULY 1936

Any precedent for such a concession?

25/7

Two available precedents are perhaps. In the one case, one Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar had put in 34 years 6 months and 6 days of service in the temples of Nanjangud, Seringapatam and Chamarajanagar, as peishkar. His service was purely Minisrial and non pensionable. In consideration of his long and good service, Govt were pleased to sanction to him a Superannuation pension of Rs 17-3-0 pm (the amount of pension he would have been entitled to had his service been pensionable service under Govt) the charge being met from the funds of the temple at Chamarajanagar (vide G.O. 6950-2/Home 434-21-8 dt 23.6.22)

In the other case, relating to Mr. Srikantia

Serial No.

Retired Pujari of the temple at Nanj in view of his long and faithful Service of 46 years in the said temple Govt were finally pleased to sanction to him, as a special case, a pension of Rs 7-50 in view of the of Rs 300/- previously sanctioned to him in November 1931, the charge being met from funds of the said temple (Vide G.O. No 499-500/Mung 67-32-8 dated 23.9.1932.)

2 In the present case, Mr. Thammiah Pushy is reported to have put in 20 years' Service and a pension of Rs 10 per mensem is recommended to him by the M.C. The Comptroller may perhaps be requested to intimate the amount of pension Mr. Pushy would be entitled to, if his Service were to be treated as pensionable Service under Government.

3 Reference in para 4 supra

4 The amount of pension to which Mr. Thammiah Pushy is entitled is $\frac{20}{60}$ of Rs 30 i.e. $\frac{1}{3}$ of Rs 30 i.e. Rs 10 per mensem, according to art. 320 of the Mysore Service Regulations. There is no necessity to consult the Comptroller in the matter.

(Sd/- T.Z. [illegible] Gen. Secy)

Sd/- 1/8/36

5 The letter of the [illegible]

GENERAL BRANCH. - 1 AUG. 1936 971

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

4 J 120

File No. of 193 . General and Revenue Secretariat

dated 22/7/36 and 27/7/36 are for perusal. The old Adhyapakan of the Patasala runs in connection with the Temple is recommended for a maintenance allowance of Rs 10/- There is no need to treat it as a case of pension. It is also not likely to become a precedent. Rs 10 is a small amount to be given to an old man like this in straitened circumstances. It is quite proper to meet such a charge from the funds of the Temple which runs the Patasala The proposal may be approved

sd
13/8/36

First Member

this Secy

Is This to not be treated as a precedent

sd
12/ 8/36

sd
15/8

sd
21/8

sd
24/8

14/ Straight
sd
25/8/36

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT
U.O.No. FI 418
13. AUG. 1936

OFFICE OF THE
HIGH COMMISSIONER
17 AUG. 1936
No. 1209

Serial No.

15) Subd with a draft G.O. Sanctg

26/8 27.8.36.

Issue
27/8/36

5/9/36

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Muz 45-36-1

M C 18.] No. P.C. 5-16 35-6

Gen. 2

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 14th July 1936.

22

GEN. & REV. DEPTS. 24 JUL 1936 91 MUZRAI DEPT.

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., I.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

GENERAL DEPTS. 23 JULY 1936

SIR,

Grant of pension to Bra: Thammiah Sastry, late Adhyaksha of Chamarajnagar Pathasala.

In forwarding herewith a copy of letter No.H.219/35 dated 12th December 1935 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, on the above subject, I have the honor to state that the Pathasala is maintained out of the funds of the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami Temple at Chamarajnagar. In view of the long service of more than 20 years rendered by Bra. Thammiah Sastry as Adhyaksha of the Pathasala and of the fact that the present position of the institution is due to his exertions, the Deputy Commissioner, agreeing with the local officers and the temple Dharmadarsis, recommends the grant of a pension of Rs 10 per mensem from the temple funds as a very special case.

2. I first declined to consider the recommendation on the ground that it was not desirable to create such precedents. But, when I visited Chamarajnagar, the Pandit submitted the accompanying representation and prayed that the order may be re-considered. The Pandit is a very old man and is reported to be in straitened circumstances. In consideration of the above facts and also of the excellent work done by him during his tenure of office, I am of opinion that he is deserving of some special treatment. I request, therefore, that sanction of Government may be obtained to give him a pension of Rs 10 per mensem from the funds of the temple as a special case. The temple can bear the extra cost

involved.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. V. Arunachalam
21.7.36.
for Luzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from Head-Quarters)

Letter No.H.219/35 dated 12-12-35 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Grant of pension to Brahmasri Thammaiya Shastrigalu - Retired Adhyaksha - Veda Pathasala - Chamarajnagar.

With reference to your letter No.1318/34-35 dated 24/25th July 1935 c conveying approval to the appointment of Vedamurthi Yegnarama Ghanapati as the Adhyaksha of the Veda Pathasala attached to the Sri Chamarajeswaraswamy temple at Chamarajnagar in the vacancy caused by the retirement of Brahmasri Thammaiya Sastrigalu, I have the honor to state that Brahmasri Thammaiya Sastrigalu has petitioned the Amildar of Chamarajnagar Taluk stating that he has served in the Pathasala for nearly 21 years from 1913, that as he is since retired he has nothing to fall back upon, that his case may be considered like other retired servants of the Temple Establishment, and praying that he may be granted any reasonable pension for his long service

2. The Amildar reports that Brahmasri Thammaiya Sastrigalu may be said to be the first gentleman who brought the pathasala to its present efficient condition, that he is now retired on account of old age, that for his service of nearly 20 years he deserves some pension or grant and that his prayer for the grant of a suitable pension may be considered favourably in view of the fact that he is prepared to give his valuable suggestions on all matters connected with the pathasala in the future also.

3. The Sub Division Officer reports that a pension of Rs 10 may be sanctioned as the pay of the Adhyaksha was Rs 30 and as he had put in more than 20 years of service.

4. The Dharmadarsis of the temple are also agreeable to the grant of pension to the retired Adhyaksha.

5. Considering the long and useful service of 30 years done to the pathasala by Brahmasri Thammaiya Sastrigalu, I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to the grant of a pension of Rs 10 to the retired Adhyaksha as recommended by the Sub Division Officer. The cost may be met from the funds of the institution and included in the scale. This would have to be treated as a very special case and such as not creating any precedent for similar applications in future.

6. The previous records on the subject are submitted herewith.

"Copy"

[illegible]

For Muzrai Commissioner.

MZ 45 - 352 (S)

M C 18.]
No. P.C. 516/35-36
one copy & one file

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 25th July ..... 1936.



FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General ..... *Department.*

SIR,

Grant of pension to Brahmasri Thammaiya Shastrigalu of the Chamarajnagar Veda Pathasala.

In continuation of this office letter No.P.C.516/35-36 dated 22nd July 1936 recommending the grant of a pension of Rs.10 to the above Pandit, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.H.18/36 dated 17th July 1936 reiterating his previous recommendation, and to request the favour of early orders in the matter.

The papers received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter are enclosed for perusal and return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. N. Anantharaman

Assistant Commissioner
For Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from headquarters)

Letter No.H.18/36 dated 17-7-36 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Grant of allowance to Brahmasri Thammaiya Shastrigalu, - Retired Adhyaksha of Veda Pathasala - Chamarajnagar.

With reference to your letter No.D2 Dis.701/35-36 stating that it is not desirable to create precedants by granting pension to the above retired Adhyaksha, I have the honor to state that the Dharmadarsis of the temple have stated that Brahmasri Thammaiya Shastrigalu has worked hard for aboud 20 years to bring the Pathasala to its present condition, that he is now aged 72 years being physically unable to earn his living elsewhere, and that Government may kindly be pleased to grant him a monthly maintenance allowance of not less than Rs 10 as a special case, from the funds of the temple.

2. The Amildar reports that Brahmasri Thammaiya Shastrigalu was mainly responsible for bringing the Pathasala to its present condition, and that considering his qualifications, the long period of his association with the institution, sanction may be obtained to the resolution of the Dharmadarsis.

3. The Sub Division Officer recommends that in view of the valuable services rendered by Brahmasri Thammaiya Shastrigalu, an allowance of Rs 10 per month, may be granted as a special case, and that this matter was also submitted to the Muzrai Commissioner during his inspection of the pathasala and the temple.

4. Under the above circumstances, I request that a monthly allowance of Rs 10 may kindly be sanctioned to Brahmasri Thammaiya Shastrigalu as a special case, from the funds of the temple.

The connected papers are submitted herewith.

"COPY"

M N Anantha Murthy

For Muzrai Commissioner.

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary. No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Section M.U.

File No. 45-36

Serial No. 3

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 22-8-1936

Signed by Mr. C. Subbarayappa

Read— Letters No. C 516/35-36 dated 22.7.36 ~~dated 27.7.36~~ from the Municipal Commissioner recommending sanction to the grant of a pension of Rs 10/pm from the funds of the Sri ~~Chamundi~~ Swamy Chamundeshwari Temple ~~Order thereon.—No.~~ at Chamarajnagar to Brahmasri Thammiah Sastry late Adhyaksha of the Vedic pathasala attached to the Temple.

Encls are received 326-8

The Vedic pathasala at Chamarajnagar is maintained out of the funds of the Sri Chamundeshwari Temple

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

2. Letter No C.516/35-36 dated 27th July 1936 from the same officer forwarding a further recommendation received from the Dy Commr of Mysore dt for the grant of a monthly maintenance allowance of Rs.10 instead of pension to Brahmasri Thammiah Sastry referred to above as he has worked hard

~~on the Place~~ In view of the long service of more than 20 years rendered by Mahamahopadhyaya Thammiah Sastry as Adhyaksha of this pathasala and of the fact that the present position of the institution is due to his exertions during his tenure of office, the Deputy Commissioner after consulting with the local officers and the temple Dharmadarsis, has recommended the grant ~~to him~~ of a pension ~~to him~~ of Rs 10 per mensem from the ~~temple~~ funds of the temple. The pandit is a very old man and is reported to be in straitened circumstances. The M.C is of opinion that he is deserving of some special treatment. In the circumstances Govt are pleased to sanction, as a special case the ~~recommendation~~ ~~grant of the pension~~ proposed by the Mysore Commissioner

for a period of 20 years to bring the Pathashala to its present condition and is now aged 72 years.

Order thereon

No

A maintenance allowance of Rs 10 is sanctioned as a special case to Brahmasri Thammiah Sastry, the cost being met from the funds of the temple. This should not be treated as a precedent.

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

dtd 27/8/36
Secl Secretariat
To The M.C

WD 0260-EGPB - 22,500—12-7-35